

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,724	10/19/2004	Mauro Marzi	2818-225	2529
23117 7590 01/19/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/511,724	MARZI ET AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 November 2006</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl 	i)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the
AMENDMENTS		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendment canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 5. 	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-9,13 and 15-22</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	of before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered rit or other evidence is necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare. The affidavit or other evidence is necessared. As a value of the content of t	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	or the status of the claims after e	ntry is delow or attached.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
13.		CS Aulash

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1625 Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Written description, indefiniteness, prior art rejections and obviousness rejection over Dallavalle's reference.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It does not overcome enablement rejection and obviousness rejection over Penco's reference in view of Bom's reference. The Penco's reference teaches antitumor activity of camptotheicn compounds substituted at 7th position with an alkyl oxime group while Bom teaches enhanced stability of camptotheicn compounds by expansion of E-ring to a 7-membered ring and therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to prepare the instant compounds of formula (I). In regard to enablement issues, the specification has support for treating lung tumor only. Also, the amendment of claim 1 deleted the value of variable R1 as hydrogen. This amendment raises new grounds of rejection for claims 6 and 8 for lacking antecedal basis.